Improving contraceptive method mix

Jane T. Bertrand, PhD, MBA Tulane SPHTM Advance Family Planning Meeting: 9 April 2019





The distribution of contraceptive methods used in a given country reflects: SUPPLY: Methods that are available and affordable

DEMAND: Consumer preferences, social norms

Two measures of method mix (distribution)

Measure #1: Method skew

- More than 50% of users reply on a single method (Bertrand et al, 2014)
- FP2020 uses 60% as the criterion
- Skewed method mix is worrisome!

Example: Sudan: 2014 MICS



Causes of a skewed method mix

Acceptable reasons

- Community norms favor a particular method
- "Everyone in my community uses the injection, so that's what I want."
- Historical: Zimbabwe is a "pill country" because of a strong CBD program years ago

Worrisome reasons

- Pressure from a service provider to use a specific method
- Lack of access to a facility with a full range of methods
- Inability to afford the preferred contraceptive
- Stockouts of the preferred method

Second measure of method mix: "Average Deviation" (AD)

- A measure of "evenness" of method distribution (Ross et al, 2015)
- If users were evenly divided across 8 methods, each method = 12.5%
- AD = simple average of differences around the mean
- Potential range of AD: 0-21.9
 - 0 if totally "even" (see pie graph)
 - 21.9 = all users rely on 1 method
 - Actual range: 6-19
 - "Middle 50%": <mark>8.6-12.2</mark>



Improved method mix and increased CPR may go hand in hand (but not always)

Rwanda (1983): CPR = 11.0%

Rwanda (2014/15): CPR = 53.2%





Rwanda is the SSA country with the greatest method balance improvement (Ross et al, 2015)

Let's look at method mix in the 8 AFP countries

- What is the method mix as of the last nationally representative survey?
- Do over 50% of users rely on a single method ("method skew")?
- What is the "average deviation" (AD) from "evenness"?
 - The sweet spot ranges from 8.6-12.2
- If skewed, is the country evolving toward a more balanced method mix?



CPR: 62% mCPR: 54% Mean AD score: 10.6



AD: Bangladesh 1976-2014



Method Mix: DRC 2014

CPR: 20% mCPR: 8% Mean AD score: 15.5



AD: DRC 2001-2014



Method Mix: India 2016

CPR: 53% mCPR: 48% Mean AD score: 14.0



AD: India 1981-2016



Method Mix: Indonesia 2017

CPR: 61% mCPR: 59% Mean AD score: 13.1



AD: Indonesia 1976-2017



Method Mix: Kenya 2016

CPR: 61% mCPR: 60% Mean AD score: 10.5



AD: Kenya 1978-2016



Method Mix: Nigeria 2017

CPR: 13% mCPR: 11% Mean AD score: 10.5



AD: Nigeria 1982-2017



Method Mix: Tanzania 2016

CPR: 38% mCPR: 32% Mean AD score: 10.3



AD: Tanzania 1992-2016



Method Mix: Uganda 2017

CPR: 38% mCPR: 34% Mean AD score: 10.0



AD: Uganda 2006-2016



Three take-away messages

1) The predominant method differs by country: (*) indicates >50% skew

- Injectable: Indonesia (*), Kenya, Nigeria, Tanzania, Uganda
- Female sterilization: India (*)
- Pill: Bangladesh
- Traditional: DRC (*)
- 2) Four patterns emerge from 8 countries:
 - Method skew has improved but CPR is low (DRC, Nigeria)
 - Method skew persists but CPR is relatively high (India, Indonesia)
 - Method mix is balanced with relatively high CPR (Bangladesh, Kenya)
 - Method mix is balanced but CPR < 35% (Tanzania, Uganda)
- 3) There is no "ideal method mix," but method skew raises red flags:
 - Do clients have access to a full range of accessible and affordable methods, free of provider bias?

Advocacy has a role in improving method mix

- Increase awareness of method mix among country leadership
- Strengthen role of the private sector (e.g., EC, DMPA-SC)
- Ensure availability of all methods in public and private sectors
- Include all methods on the essential medicine lists, including pharmaceutical medicine lists
- National and subnational governments to budget for contraceptives, equipment, personnel, and training
- Operationalize task sharing guidelines at national and subnational levels
- Address barriers to contraceptive access for young people

Acknowledgements

 Dr. John Ross, independent consultant and expert on method mix

• Ms. Kate Schultz, Tulane graduate student